

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/696,876	10/30/2003	Tack-Sun Han	F-7997	9155	
28107 7	590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
•	JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET			LEGESSE, NINI F	
SUITE 4000	ND STREET	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10168	3711			
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/696,876	HAN, TAEK-SUN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Nini F. Legesse	3711		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address –		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
tatus				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	• •	is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 9-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,9-12,15 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
pplication Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the sheet of the sh	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyarection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
ttachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/696,876

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's response to the office action of 03/17/06 is acknowledged on 06/21/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saiz in view of Pettigrew et al. (US Patent No. 6,852,039).

Saiz discloses a golf ball that has grooves. However Saiz fails to disclose the use of a logo, number, symbol, and slogan printed on the groove. However, the use of indicia on a golf ball is not new and Pettigrew is one reference that teaches the use of indicia (see element 350 in Fig. 1A). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the Saiz device with an indicia as taught by Pettigrew in order to provide guidance to the golfer as to the manner in which he or should play the golf ball during practice (see column 2 lines 55+ of the Pettigrew reference).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 9-12, 15, and 16 are allowed.

Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's argument in regards to claim 13, filed 06/21/06 has been fully considered but is not persuasive. Saiz discloses a groove at he circumference of the ball (see Fig. 5) and the secondary reference Pettigrew discloses a symbol (350) at the circumference of the golf ball. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the Saiz device with a symbol as taught by Pettigrew in order to provide guidance to the golfer as to the manner in which he or should play the golf ball during practice (see column 2 lines 55+ of the Pettigrew reference).

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3711

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nini F. Legesse whose telephone number is (571) 272-4412. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nini F. Legesse

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3711